

**EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION ON ADEQUACY**  
in  
*Preserved Mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia,*  
Inv. Nos. 731-TA-776-779 (Review)

On February 6, 2004, the Commission unanimously determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5). The Commission, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, grouped these reviews because they involve the same domestic like product.<sup>1</sup>

With regard to each of the reviews, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to the notice of institution was adequate. The Commission received an adequate response with company specific data from the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade, which consists of four domestic producers of preserved mushrooms. Because the Commission received an adequate response from domestic producers accounting for a substantial percentage of U.S. production, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate.

In the review concerning subject imports from Indonesia, the Commission received an adequate joint response with company-specific data from five producers and exporters of the subject merchandise: P.T. Dieng Djaya, P.T. Sura Jaya Abadi Perkasa, P.T. Karya Kompos Bagus, P.T. Eka Timur Raya, and P.T. Indo Evergreen Agro Business Corp. Because the Commission received an adequate response representing a substantial percentage of subject imports from Indonesia, the Commission determined that the respondent interested party group response was adequate for the review concerning Indonesia. Accordingly, the Commission determined to proceed to a full review in *Preserved Mushrooms from Indonesia*.

The Commission did not receive a response from any respondent interested parties in the reviews concerning subject imports from Chile, China, or India. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct a full review with respect to the review concerning Indonesia.

---

<sup>1</sup> See 19.U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(D).